

Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu - Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities - Department of International Relations, Political Science and Security Studies

STUDIA SECURITATIS

Security Studies Magazine

Two Issues / Year

Volume XII No. 2 / 2018 ISSN: 1843-1925

INTERNATIONAL AFFILIATION:

CEEOL:

http://www.ceeol.com/

EBSCO:

http://www.ebscohost.com/

ERIHPLUS:

https://dbh.nsd.uib.no/publiseringskanaler/erihplus/

INDEX COPERNICUS:

http://www.indexcopernicus.com/

ULRICH'S PERIODICAL DIRECTORY: http://ulrichsweb.serialssolutions.com/

INFOBASE INDEX:

http://www.infobaseindex.com/

SOCIONET.RU:

http://socionet.ru/

RESEARCHBIB:

http://www.researchbib.com/

MIAR:

http://miar.ub.edu

GLOBAL IMPACT & QUALITY FACTOR:

http://globalimpactfactor.com/

BOARD

EDITORIAL BOARD:

CHIEF-EDITOR: Eugen Străuțiu

CO-EDITORS: Cristian Troncotă, Gabriel Șerban, Nicoleta Munteanu,

Marius Șpechea, Iustin Vancea, Emilia Tomescu, Iuliana Neagoș

Editorial Secretary: Grațian Lupu

SCIENTIFIC BOARD:

Corvin Lupu (Founder "Studia Securitatis")

Marc Chesnel (Académie des Belles-Lettres Sciences et Arts de la Rochelle)

Siegmar Schmidt (Universität Koblenz-Landau)

Liubiša Despotović (Institute for Political Studies, Belgrade)

Gheorghe Bichicean (Romanian-German University of Sibiu)

Alexandr Dughin (Lomonosov University of Moscow)

Valeriu Moșneaga (State University of Moldova, Chișinău)

Antonio Nadal (University of Malaga)

Dan Dungaciu (Institute of Political Science and International Relations, Romanian Academy, Bucharest)

Tomasz Bąk (University of Information, Technology and Management of Rzesźow)

Teodor Frunzeti (Academy of Romanian Scientist, Bucharest)

Stelian Scăunaș (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

Anzhela Ignatyuk (Taras Shevcenko University, Kiyv)

Mihai Marcel Neag (Nicolae Bălcescu Land Forces Academy of Sibiu)

Forrest Nabors (University of Alaska, Anchorage)

Paul Dănuț Duță (Romanian Diplomatic Institute, Bucharest)

Vakhtang Maisaia (Sukhishvili University, Tbilisi)

Cristian Barna (Mihai Viteazul National Academy of Intelligence, Bucharest)

Aurelian Lavric (Alexandru cel Bun Military Academy of Chisinau)

Mojtaba Zarvani (State University of Tehran)

Vasile Tabără (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS:

Victor Moraru (Academy of Sciences, Chisinau)

Lucian Cioca (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

Andrey Devyatkov (University of Moscow)

Teodor Bodoască (Dimitrie Cantemir University of Târgu Mures)

Ganna Kharlamova (Taras Sevecenko University of Kyiv)

Viorica Țîcu (Free International University of Moldova, Chisinau)

Mircea Cosma (Alma Mater University of Sibiu)

Leonid Litra (Institute of World Policy, Kyiv)

Diana Bencheci (State University of Moldova, Chisinau)

Gligor Ciortea (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

Victor Saca (State University of Moldova, Chisinau)

Vasile Căruțașu (Nicolae Bălcescu Land Forces Academy of Sibiu)

Natalia Albu (Alexandru cel Bun Military Academy of Chisinau)

Vladimir Yastrebceak (Taras Sevcenko State University of Tiraspol)

Paul Brusanowski (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

Dragan Trailović (Institute for Political Studies, Belgrade)

Natalia Putină (State University of Moldova, Chisinau)

Silvia Florea - Book Reviewer (Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu)

Copyright © 2017-2020, Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu Department of International Relations, Political Science and Security Studies

550324 Sibiu, Calea Dumbrăvii 34 Tel. / Fax: +40-0269-422169

Web: http://reviste.ulbsibiu.ro/studiasecuritatis/ E-mail: magazine.studiasecuritatis@ulbsibiu.ro

CONTENTS

THE TRANSNISTRIAN CONFLICT

Eugen STRĂUȚIU, A PROPOSAL FOR A METHOD FOR THE CALCULATION OF THE CONVERGENCE OF THE TWO BANKS OF THE DNIESTR110
Ernest VARDANEAN , THE RUSSIAN-UKRAINIAN CONFLICT AND RISKS FOR THE TRANSNISTRIAN SETTLEMENT PROCESS125
Mihai MELINTEI, DYNAMICS OF THE TRANSNISTRIAN REGULATORY PROCESS (JANUARY – DECEMBER 2018)133

THE TRANSNISTRIAN CONFLICT

Eugen STRĂUȚIU Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu

A PROPOSAL FOCUSED ON A METHOD FOR THE CALCULATION OF THE CONVERGENCE OF THE TWO BANKS OF THE DNIESTR

Abstract:	Following the armed conflict in 1992, the Moldovan society, on the left bank of the Dniester broke, from a political and administrative point of view, proclaiming itself a separate state under the name "The Moldavian Dniester Republic" – which is unrecognized until today at an international level.
	The negotiation process, started in 1992, did not produce significant convergence effects - although issues related to the everyday life of the population are resolved today at a faster pace than ever before. The present article aims at investigating, principally and (as much as possible) quantitatively, to what extent the

	political divergence has evolved over two decades and a half, and to what extent it finds itself in economic, military and civil society divergences. In conclusion, we will try to evaluate the chances of a convergence process between the two banks of the Dniester in the near future.
Keywords:	Transnistrian conflict; negotiation process;
	divergence; convergence
Contact	
details of the	E-mail: eugen.strautiu@ulbsibiu.ro
authors:	
Institutional	Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Department of
affiliation of	International Relations, Political Science and Security
the authors:	Studies
Institutions	34 Calea Dumbrăvii,0269422169 fax, site web
address:	http://www.ulbsibiu.ro/en/

Ernest VARDANEAN Moldova State University

THE RUSSIAN-UKRAINIAN CONFLICT AND RISKS FOR THE TRANSNISTRIAN SETTLEMENT PROCESS

Abstract:	This research analyzes the confrontation between Russia
And the state of t	and Ukraine since 2014, highlighting the major political,
	military, and diplomatic risks for the settlement of the
	Transnistrian conflict, as both countries are mediators and
	guarantors.
	The military phase of the conflict in Donbass, which is not
	over yet, is gradually evolving towards a political and
	diplomatic confrontation. It is fraught with protracted
	unsuccessful negotiations, long coordination of various
	documents, inclusion of secondary problems on the
	negotiation agenda – that is, the maximum departure from
	the main causes of the conflict and the key problems during
	its resolution.
	All this taken together reminds of the evolution of the
	Transnistrian settlement, which also began with documents
	signed by the parties to the conflict and equalizing the
	"metropolis" with the separatists, as well as giving the
	status of a mediator to the de-facto third party of the

	conflict (Russia). An obvious analogy with the Transnistrian issue is noticeable even in the post-conflict process stages
Keywords:	Annexation of Crimea; conflict; Donbass; Transnistria;
	5+2 format; negotiation
Contact	
details of the	E-mail: ernest.vardanean@gmail.com
author:	-
Institutional	
affiliation of	Moldova State University
the author:	
Institution	18 N.Testimiţeanu Str, bl. 6, MD-4027, Chişinău
address:	Tel: +373 22 797122, frispa@usm.md
	http://frispa.usm.md/

Mihai MELINTEI Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu

DYNAMICS OF THE TRANSNISTRIAN REGULATORY PROCESS (JANUARY – DECEMBER 2018)

	conflict; the Transnistrian regulatory process; "5+2" format; working groups
Contact details of the authors:	E-mail: mihai.melintei@gmail.com
Institutional affiliation of the authors:	Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu
Institutions	550324-Sibiu, Calea Dumbrăvii nr. 34, et. II, cam. 10
address:	Tel: 0040/734306434, Fax: 0040/269/422169, E-mail: office@lact.ro